What is Human Geography in Action?

- The traditional emphasis on instructor-centered teaching is being replaced by a focus on student-centered learning, in which instructors are facilitators and students are active participants in the learning process. Students retain more with student-oriented approaches than with the traditional model of instructor as lectures and student as listener.
- The purpose of Human Geography in Action is for students to learn geography by doing geography. It comprises 14 stimulating, hands-on chapters that challenge students to collect, manipulate, display, and interpret geographic information.

The Disappearing Front Range: Urban Sprawl in Colorado


Activity 1: Transportation and Urban Growth Activity 2: Urban Sprawl Scenario Analysis

Activity 3: Urban Sprawl Debate and Consensus Building

After completing the chapter, you will be able to:

- · Assess the relationship between urban growth and transportation technology.
- · Articulate the causes of urban sprawl.
- · Use GIS layering to visualize the uneven geographic
- · Evaluate the alternative solutions to urban sprawl and recognize the inherent trade-offs among them
- · Advocate a position on urban sprawl.
- · Negotiate an acceptable solution to urban sprawl with those who hold a different position.







Hands-on Learning About Urban Sprawl

J. Harner¹, M. Kuby², and P. Gober². Hands-on learning about urban sprawl. ¹Department of Geography, 1420 Austin Bluffs Pkwy, University of Colorado at Colorado Springs, Colorado Springs, CO 80933-7150; and Department of Geography, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-0104.

The traditional emphasis on instructor-centered teaching is being replaced by a focus on student-centered learning. Human Geography in Action, a combination textbook-lab manual-CD, comprises 14 hands-on chapters that challenge students to collect, manipulate, display, and interpret geographic information. The chapter on urban sprawl involves an interactive case study of urban growth in Colorado Springs. The activity has three parts. In the first, students run a computerized animation of the growth in Colorado Springs from 1950 to 2000 assessing the relationship between transportation development and the pattern of urban growth. In the second, they use GIS to explore five urban-growth scenarios (infill, urban villages, beltway, growth corridors, and leapfrog) and overlay several different data layers to determine what effect the scenarios have on transportation and sensitive ecological zones. The five scenarios were among those actually considered by city planners in 2000. The third part involves a structured role-playing debate in which students as stakeholders express preferences for a particular form of urban growth and then break into citizen action committees charged with making a single recommendation to the City. The chapter conveys the difficult choices facing 21st Century cities, and the different perspectives people have about these choices.

expenses

rices

CRD

electricity infrastructure

lew road-building

Detached-housing

Condo and townhouse

Variety of types of

esidences within the

new neighborhoods

Revitalization of the

Proximity of housing to

shopping, jobs, and ervices

Air pollution

Activity 1: Transportation & Urban Growth

- In this activity you will watch Colorado Springs spread before your very eyes from 1950 to 2000 and assess the relationship between transportation and the pattern of urban growth.
- The idealized model of how urban growth is related to the spatial configuration of each new transportation technology (Fig. 11.2) was based on the history of midwestern cities like Chicago and St.
- Very often, one can learn just as much about a process by the way the model doesn't fit as by the way it does. As you look at the animation, think about why growth patterns in Colorado Springs do or do not fit the predicted model.




Figure 11.2 New transportation technology has made new areas of the urban fringe accessible to the city center. Each transport era was accompanied by residential construction more spread out that the previous due to the increasing ease of movement. The spatial structure of today's cities reflects that different parts were built in different eras





All Five Growth Scenarios are Real Options Considered by the City, and Add 72,000 Housing Units between 2000 and 2020

•Eastern Beltway: Development clustered around proposed Eastern

·Leapfrog Development: Urban development on the eastern prairie beyond

Northeast-Southeast Extension: Contiguous growth channelized in two corridors extending out from already developed areas

·Urban Village: A concentration of commercial land uses and higherdensity housing outside of the region's main central business district-planned to be a focal point of shopping and employment for surrounding

•Infill Development: Higher-density development in smaller patches of undeveloped or redevelopable land inside of the urban boundaries.

Activity 2: Urban Sprawl Scenario Analysis

- In this section, you will use the power of GIS to explore five urban-growth scenarios for Colorado Springs. For each scenario, you will be able to overlay several different data layers to see what effect the scenario might have on transportation and sensitive ecological zones.
- In the following table, identify the scenario(s) that are best performing and worst performing on each criteria.
- In the final column, write the rationale you used. By "rationale" we mean not only the source (e.g., map, table), but also what you looked for on the map or table.
- Based on your completed table and any other information at your disposal, explain (a) the main geographic differences and (b) the main trade-offs between the following scenarios.

	Beltway	Urban	Leapfrog	NE-SE	Infill
	Bellway	Villages	Leapirog	NE-SE	181111
New housing	72.000	72.000	72.000	72.000	72.000
	72,000	72,000	72,000	72,000	72,000
units					
Dwelling units	8.4	8.4	3.58	3.58	16.8
per acre					
A cres of land	10,865	10,743	20,035	20,097	7,548
converted					
Relative cost to	medium	medium	high	medium	low
provide					
electricity					
Relative cost to	medium	medium	low	medium	high
uperade roads					-
Approximate	\$262 million	\$257 million	\$627 million	\$627 million	\$147 million
cost for					
sewer/water					
lines					
Impact on	slight	reduced	reduced	no change	increased
central city	increase	reduced	reduced	no enange	mereasea
traffic	merease				
Potential for	low	high	low	low	high
nonmotorized	lo w	high	low	lo w	high
transit (walk,					
bike, skate)					
Percent	92/8	88/12	93/7	92/8	88/12
detached houses					
/ percent condos					
or townhouses					
Average	\$180,000's	\$200,000's	\$120,000%	\$200,000's	\$160,000%
detached house					
value					
Average condo	\$120,000's	\$150.000's	\$80.000's	\$140.000's	\$100.000's
value					

ed house							
e condo	\$120,000's	\$150,000)'s \$	80,000's	\$140,000's	\$100,000's	
G 1:			ъ .		ln	=	
Criteria			Best	Worst	Rationale		
Preservation of rural							
land ge	enerally						
Preservation of sensitive							
open s	pace in part	icular					
Potenti	al for						
nonmo	torized trip	s					
Service	by existin	g					
transit	routes	_					_
Inner-c	ity congest	ion					Figure 1 America
Suburb	Suburban congestion						America urban air
Water,	ater, sewer, and						1







Figure 11.10 Urba ized grees along the Colorado Front Range

Activity 3: Urban Sprawl Debate and Consensus Building

- Urban sprawl is a complex issue to resolve, in part because different stakeholder groups value different things and have different visions for the future.
- In Activity 3 you will play the role of one of the stakeholder groups. You will first participate in a debate about the issues.
- Then you will be appointed to a citizens' working group charged with reaching consensus and making a recommendation to the

The major stakeholder groups include:

- Low-income single mother
- Real estate developer
- Middle-class family with school-age children, new migrant to Colorado Springs
- 4. Middle-class family with school-age children, previously
- Environmentalist
- Young, single urban professional ("Yuppie")
- 8. Farmer on urban fringe
- 9. Fast-growing high-tech employer



to the City Council, and prepare a position statement. Step 4: Present your new position to the class.

Collaborative Learning Structured Debate To enhance team functioning and facilitate completion of this step, students will be assigned to particular tasks by counting off within their groups.

Timekeeper/Taskmaster, who keeps the group on schedule:

Recorder, who drafts the statement in Step 2:

Consensus Checker/Gatekeeper

Steps in the Debate

Step 1: Prepare a written position statement for which scenario

Step 3: Form Citizen's Committees made up of one student from each stakeholder group, reach a consensus recommendation

your stakeholder group prefers, and why. Step 2: Read your position statement aloud.

> Before statements are presented, the instructor will randomly assign a number to the role of spokesperson, regardless of which role that student was previously. All students should be prepared to argue their team's position.



